

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/612,397	NARHI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ivan H. Carpio	2841	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) Ivan H. Carpio.

(3) _____

(2) Alfred Fressola.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 6 April 2006

Time: 2:00

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Claims 19, 34, 37 and 38

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 RANDY W. GIBSON

PRIMARY EXAMINER
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed examiners amendment of claims 19 and 34 to contain allowable claim language in order to rejoin restricted claims with the allowable selected claims, and furthermore discussed cancelation of duplicate claim 37 and ammendment of claim 38 to correct dependancy..